1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 5 6 MATTHEW G. SILVA, 7 Plaintiff, v. 8 ROB McKENNA, JOHN S. BLONIEN, No. C11-5629 RBL/KLS 9 DOUGLAS CARR, KIMBERLY 10 FRINELL, AMANDA ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE MIGCHELBRING, ELDON VAIL, 11 DAN PACHOLKE, STEVE SINCLAIR, CHRIS BOWMAN, CHUCK PEASE, 12 OFFICIAL JURGENSEN, LINDA MICHASEL, DAVID S. ROBERTS, 13 TAMARA ROWDEN, RONALD 14 FREDERICK, DEVON SCHRUM, LORI SCAMAHORN, DENNIS 15 DAHNE, KERRI McTARSNEY, CORYDON WHALEY, CLINT MAY, 16 CHERYL SULLIVAN, and VANESSA COLEMAN, 17 18 Defendants. 19 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma 20 pauperis and a proposed civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF Nos. 1 and 4. 21 Court records indicate, however, that Plaintiff has filed at least four civil actions in forma 22 pauperis in the United States District Courts that have been dismissed as frivolous or for failure 23 to state a claim. Those cases include: Silva v. King County, C08-1447RSM (Western District of 24 25 Washington); Silva v. Bush, et.al, CV-06-984-JLR (Western District of Washington); Silva v. 26 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 1

Clarke, et.al., CV-05-414-MWL (Eastern District of Washington); and Silva v. State of Washington, et al., C-98-659-WLD (Western District of Washington).

28 U.S.C. § 1915 (g) applies if a prisoner has brought three or more actions previously dismissed as frivolous, malicious or that failed to state a claim:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceed under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

[Emphasis added.]

Plaintiff acknowledges that he has at least three strikes and must pay the filing fee of \$350.00. ECF No. 1-1, p. 1. He contends that he has overpaid \$350.00 to the United States District Court in Phoenix, Arizona and by letter, asks the Clerk of that Court to transfer the payment to this Court. *Id.* No such payment has been received. Additionally, Plaintiff asks that this Court pay for the cost of serving the twenty-four defendants named herein. *Id.* The Court declines to do so. Because Plaintiff is not proceeding *informa pauperis* in this matter, it is his obligation to serve copies of the Summons and Complaint upon the named defendants. Pursuant to Rule 4(m), Plaintiff must serve copies of the Summons and Complaint upon each of the named Defendants within 120 days after the filing of the Complaint. Unless the Plaintiff can show good cause for his failure to serve, the Court shall dismiss the action without prejudice as to each defendant not served or shall extend the time for service. Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(m).

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED:

(1) Plaintiff shall show cause by **October 7, 2011**, why this case should not be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (g). In the alternative, Plaintiff may pay the full \$350.00

1	filing fee for this action by October 7, 2011. If the Plaintiff does not respond within the time
2	period specified, or Plaintiff does not show sufficient cause as noted above, the Court will
3	recommend dismissal of this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (g); and;
4	(2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.
5	
6	DATED this12th_ day of September, 2011.
7	
8	teen Latinusm
9 10	Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge
11	Officed States Wagistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
ا 20	

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 3